

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/559,745	12/07/2005	Takayoshi Mamine	09812.0120-00000	5942	
22852 FINNEGAN 1	7590 04/14/200 HENDERSON, FARAE	EXAM	EXAMINER		
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			YU, MELANIE J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
······································	71, DC 20001 1115	1641			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/14/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/559,745	MAMINE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MELANIE YU	1641		

	MED WILL TO	10-71						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 01 Abril 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which piaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da	te of the final rejection.							
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire 	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Mole: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee area been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount for file 1. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later hand three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in corr 	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection 	but prior to the date of files a brief	ill not be entered be						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further of			cause					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be		i E below),						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in b		duoina or elmplifuina ti	no incues for					
appeal; and/or	etter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1		ootoa olaiirio.						
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. In the amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mpliant Amandment (DTOL 224)					
		Inpliant Amendment (F I OL-324).					
= ','								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate,	umely filed amendmer	it canceling the					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a 	\ M will not be entered or b\ □ wi	Il he entered and an e	volunation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr		il De entered and an e.	xpiariation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	Svided below of appended.							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 4 and 5.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-3 and 8.								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, to because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
 M The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons stated in the previous office action dated 21 January 2009. Furthermore, applicant's arguments are directed to 								
new limitations which have not been considered or entered.								
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 	. (P10/5B/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:								

/Melanie Yu/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1641

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: applicant's amendment to claim 4 requires the new limitation of a laser beam, which would require further consideration and search.